

## Questions and Corrections 2013-05

11-28-12

2013-05 Magoffin Magoffin Morgan Wolfe KY 9009 10-126\_40 10-126\_12 10-126\_70

QUESTION: Are there any Geotechnical Overviews or Geotechnical Memoranda available for the subject design sections, similar to the Geotechnical Overview and Geotechnical Memorandum for Section 1b in the Mountain Parkway Programming Study?

RESPONSE: A geotechnical overview that was completed in 1998 on the 10-126.00 corridor has been added to the available studies in the advertisement.

[Magoffin Morgan Wolfe Geotechnical Overview P-002-1998.pdf](#)

11-28-12

2013-05 Magoffin Magoffin Morgan Wolfe KY 9009 10-126\_40 10-126\_12 10-126\_70

QUESTION: The geometrics shown for the selected alternative in the FONSI are not the same in a number of areas for the preferred alternative shown on the manuscript (plan and profile) files that is part of the available studies. Which is applicable for the Final Roadway Design? If it's the FONSI, then can the manuscript that was revised for the FONSI please be made available? Based on the FONSI, Alternative 4 is the selected alternative, whereas only the plan and profile alignment files for Alternatives 1 and 2 are currently available.

RESPONSE: This has been the same issue for all of the other sections we have advertised.

There are no manuscripts that exactly match the FONSI. This issue will be addressed with the selected consultant/consultants at the Pre-Design meeting as it has been with the other sections.

The District's goal is that the design criteria set forth in the approved FONSI will be used. It should be noted, that as with all designs Phase II will have refinements from Phase I.

11-26-12

2013-05 Trigg /US 68 & KY 80/1-180.10 & 1-180.11

QUESTION: Will the project reports for both projects and/or the plans for 1-180.10 be made available?

RESPONSE: **Due to additional information being provided, the Response date for 2013-05 Trigg /US 68 & KY 80/1-180.10 & 1-180.11 has been extended 1 week, to December 5, 2012 4:30 p.m. E.S.T. (Frankfort time)**

A link to the Right-of-Way Project Reports for 1-180.10 and 1-180.11 have been added to advertisement and the advertisement has be re-posted

[Trigg US 68 KY 80 Project Report Binder 01-180\\_10](#)

[Trigg US 68 KY 80 Project Report Binder 01-180\\_11](#)

11-26-12

2013-05 Magoffin Magoffin Morgan Wolfe KY 9009 10-126\_40 10-126\_12 10-126\_70

QUESTION: The limits described in the bulletin for each of the design sections for the selected alternative is not clear. Could the limits please be marked on or described based on Exhibit 1a thru 1j in the FONSI.

RESPONSE: Exhibits from the FONSI with Project Limits marked have been added to the advertisement [Mountain Parkway Item 10-126\\_01 - Pages from FONSI](#). Exhibit 1c-1e did not contain any of the 3 project limits and has been deleted to save some file size.

11-16-12

2013-05 Magoffin Magoffin Morgan Wolfe KY 9009 10-126\_40 10-126\_12 10-126\_70

QUESTION: If a VE study has been completed, can that also be made available?

RESPONSE: No, however, a Programming Study was done that looked at some cost reducing options. A link has been added to the advertisement. [Mountain Parkway Extension - KY 9009-US 460- KY114 Programming Study](#)

11-16-12

2013-05 Laurel Knox US 25E 11-185.00 11-188.00

QUESTION: The bulletin states geotechnical may be performed by the consultant. Shouldn't geotechnical requirements also be listed in the prequalification area?

RESPONSE: As stated in the advertisement, the selected consultant may be required to provide all Geotechnical Services required for the project. Prequalification for Geotechnical Engineering and Lab Services and Drilling Services was inadvertently left out of the posted advertisement. This has been corrected and the advertisement has been re-posted. To respond to this project, the consultant project team must be prequalified in Geotechnical Engineering and Laboratory Services and Geotechnical Drilling.

11-15-12

2013-05 Trigg /US 68 & KY 80/1-180.10 & 1-180.11

QUESTION: We noticed the RFP included acquisition for both 1-180.10 and 1-180.11, but the plans are only available for 1-180.11. Will the project reports for both projects and/or the plans for 1-180.10 be made available?

RESPONSE: A link to the Right-of-Way Plans for 1-180.10 have been added to advertisement and the advertisement has be re-posted. [Trigg US 68 KY 80 1 180 10 RW PLANS](#)

11-15-12

2013 Floyd / US 23 / 12-191.00

QUESTION: The prequalification requirement shows only Rural Roadway Design. The DNA study cites considerable commercial development and access control as a contributor to the safety issue. Would the Cabinet also want the prequalification for Advanced Traffic Engineering Design and Modeling since this is a safety improvement and includes an interchange, intersections and driveway access to commercial development?

RESPONSE: No. All traffic engineering and modeling beyond traffic forecasting, if needed, will be performed by the Cabinet. There is limited commercial development in the area; however, we believe that Rural Roadway Design requirement will be sufficient.

11-15-12

2013-05 Daviess/KY 54/2-8300.00

QUESTION: In section XI, Structure Design the bulletin states “The Structure Design will be performed by the Department.” However; under prequalification requirements, Structures under 500 feet is required. Is the prequalification requirement for Structures correct?

RESPONSE: The selected consultant will be responsible for any necessary structure design.